General algorithmic problem solving competitions with crowd-sourced solution validation

A blockchain-based platform design

Efstathios Aliprantis Athens University of Economics and Business

GEC 2021, Greece

Algorithm Competitions



A Traditional Approach



Proposed Blockchain-based Platform



Example: Factorization

```
product = 1
for c in solution?:
    if not c is not prime:
        return False
        product = product * c
```

return product == instance

~ Made by Petitioner

*Parameter **fail_reason** is used whenever necessary to speed up computations on Verifier

Solver1(instance):

solution = empty_array()

```
for i in 2..instance:
  while instance mod i == 0:
    instance = instance div i
    solution.append(i)
```

```
return solution
```

```
~ Made by Creator1
```

Instance	Solver1	<u>Verifier</u>
34	[2, 17]	True
25	[5, 5]	True

Solver2(instance):

```
if instance mod 2 == 0:
    return [2, instance div 2]
```

return [instance]

~ Made by Creator2

<u>Instance</u>	Solver2	<u>Verifier</u>
34	[2, 17]	True
25	[25]	False

Basic Flow of a Competition

Main Observation

Solver is not correct <=>

3 (instance, fail_reason) s.t. **Verifier**(instance, **Solver**(instance), fail_reason) = **False**

Basic Flow of a Competition

1) The Petitioner submits the Verifier

2) A Creator submits a Solver and pays a deposit

- 3) a. A Tester (human being) finds a "counter-instance"
 => Solver rejected, Tester receives the deposit
 - b. No "counter-instance" found within a predefined time period
 => Solver accepted, deposit refunded to Creator

Ethereum can execute code & handle payments automatically!

Blockchain ensures

fully transparent

processes

Submitting a Verifier



Step 2) Submit on the Platform



Enforcing Solver Complexity Constraints

- The compiler outputs instructions that measure steps & space used by Solvers
- The Petitioner submits one more function to limit the allowed steps/space of Solvers: ComplexityConstraints: instance → (max_steps, max_space)

Limitations

- Verifiers & Solvers must be able to be implemented (no pure theoretic constructs)
- Verifiers & Solvers must run in (gas) attainable time/space
 - > Hint: Verifiers can utilize the fail_reason parameter to reduce complexity
- Solvers can only be judged per instance, not on average

What 's Next: Proofs of correctness

The Platform will be able to, also, validate proofs of correctness

- Definitely correct accepted algorithms
- Not relying on Testers
- No need to wait for a predefined time period

Computer-based proof validation will be automated thus error-free

Acknowledgments

I'd like to thank my advisor prof. Eugénie Foustoucos for her constant guidance

Some Related Work

- Dilia Rodriguez, 2016, "Verification Games: Crowd-Sourced Formal Verification". Air Force Research Laboratory AFRL-RI-RS-TR-2016-096, URL: https://apps.dtic.mil/sti/pdfs/AD1006471.pdf
- Borching Su, 2018, "MathCoin: A Blockchain Proposal that Helps Verify Mathematical Theorems In Public". IACR Cryptol. ePrint Arch. 2018: 271, URL: https://eprint.iacr.org/2018/271.pdf
- For a list of platforms for "Algorithm Programming Competitions" see https://cs.au.dk/~gerth/code (NOTE: Our Platform differs from listed ones as it aims to solve problems of interest for Petitioners while the listed ones pose problems already solved as a mean to challenge the contestants)

References (Ethereum)

- Vitalik Buterin, 2013. "A Next-Generation Smart Contract and Decentralized Application Platform". URL: https://ethereum.org/en/whitepaper
- Gavin Wood, 2014. "Ethereum: A Secure Decentralised Generalised Transaction Ledger". URL: http://gavwood.com/Paper.pdf